

Nonresponsive

From: Jennifer Wu/R10/USEPA/US  
To: Mike Bussell/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: David Croxton/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Helen Rueda/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 07/18/2012 01:09 PM  
Subject: Re: Fw: Bussell presentation in Oregon

Nonresponsive

For the Mid-Coast TMDL, it would be good to say that we're supportive of the effort (we've also put about 400K into it from the region).

The Mid-Coast TMDL is for bacteria, temperature and sediment. Temperature and sediment are of greatest interest to stakeholders because forestry is the biggest source along with ag. The state is investing a lot of resources and working regularly and intensively with local groups, state and federal organizations (monthly meetings - 4 workgroups). We're also heavily invested (5 people + intern + about \$400K to pay for facilitation and LiDAR information on landslides). The intent is to understand how much water quality benefit you're going to get through different implementation actions, particularly on forest

and ag lands instead of assuming that general BMPs will meet water quality standards. The state is also working closely with NOAA and ODFW to make sure that at the end of the day, those actions will help restore and protect habitat for coastal salmon. There's the link to the CZARA Settlement Agreement (implementation-ready TMDLs are an option to fulfill one of the three requirements for ODEQ to get approval under CZARA so they'll get their 319 funding), but it's confusing. It might be better to emphasize that we think that this process will result in more specific actions that 1) provides more confidence to the implementers that they're investing in activities because they will be prioritized on most significant environmental benefits and 2) will result in quicker restoration and protection of salmon habitat.

The Mid-Coast TMDL is scheduled to be out for public comment in January 2013. We're still working on the temperature ruling and its possible effects on the Mid-Coast temperature TMDL.

Jenny Wu  
U.S. EPA Region 10  
Watershed Unit  
1200 6th Avenue, Suite 900 (OWW-134)  
Seattle, WA 98101  
206-553-6328 (phone)  
206-553-0165 (fax)

<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>

-----Mike Bussell/R10/USEPA/US wrote: -----

To: David Croxton/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Jennifer Wu/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
From: Mike Bussell/R10/USEPA/US  
Date: 07/18/2012 12:36PM  
Subject: Re: Fw: Bussell presentation in Oregon

Thanks. Maybe a bit more on **Nonresponsive** mid coast tmdl. Want to be supportive of the later.

**Nonresponsive**

From: David Croxton/R10/USEPA/US  
To: Mike Bussell/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,  
Date: 07/18/2012 11:52 AM  
Subject: Fw: Bussell presentation in Oregon

Mike- Your input on whether you would like some or all of these items fleshed-out (see my message and Jenny's reply).

----- Forwarded by David Croxton/R10/USEPA/US on 07/18/2012 11:50 AM -----

From: Jennifer Wu/R10/USEPA/US  
To: David Croxton/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,  
Cc: Jayne Carlin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Helen Rueda/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Martha Turvey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Alan Henning/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 07/18/2012 09:53 AM  
Subject: Re: Bussell presentation in Oregon

We probably should put a few points together. Gene Foster will be at that meeting, too.

**Nonresponsive**

**Nonresponsive**

There could be questions on what the Mid-Coast will mean, though there are barely any point sources in the Mid-Coast. Are the bullet points you're looking for on what we see as major work that will affect point sources or what we think are important things happening in Oregon from the water

perspective. So in summary, I'd think Mike should consider the following topics based on questions likely to come up:

Nonresponsive

\* Mid-Coast TMDL - Implementation-ready TMDLs for point and nonpoint sources for temp, sed, and bacteria - a lot of work with agricultural and forestry communities to get specific actions and goals - (looking at tools and information to provide to NPS with enforceable LAs)

Jenny Wu  
U.S. EPA Region 10  
Watershed Unit  
1200 6th Avenue, Suite 900 (OWW-134)  
Seattle, WA 98101  
206-553-6328 (phone)  
206-553-0165 (fax)

<http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm>

-----David Croxton/R10/USEPA/US wrote: -----

To: Jennifer Wu/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Helen Rueda/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Martha Turvey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Carlin.Jayne@epamail.epa.gov  
From: David Croxton/R10/USEPA/US  
Date: 07/18/2012 09:31AM  
Subject: Bussell presentation in Oregon

Hi- Next week Bussell is giving a presentation to the Association of Wastewater Treatment Facility Managers (or whatever it is called) and asked me if we had any talking points for him. Considering our **Nonresponsive** Mid-Coast, etc. I thought we might. Let me know by cob Friday if you have some points for Bussell to consider.

E.g., Will a more prescriptive tmdl like Mid-Coast allow more of the burden to shift to NPS or does it just increase our RA confidence? Do we have any sense of the impact of our listings on municipal ww treatment facilities?

thanks